Received: April 23, 1981

RADICAL ADDITIONS OF XENON DIFLUORIDE TO CIS- AND TRANS-l-PHENYLPROPENES: COMPARISON WITH TRICHLORAMINE AND IODOBENZENE DICHLORIDE

DALE F. SHELLHAMER, MARK L. RAGAINS, BRUCE T. GIPE, VICTOR L. HEASLEY

Chemistry Department, Point Loma College, San Diego, California 92106 (U.S.A.)

and GENE E. HEASLEY

Chemistry Department, Bethany Nazarene College, Bethany, Oklahoma 73003 (U.S.A.)

We would like to report data which support a free radical pathway for reaction of xenon difluoride (XeF₂) with alkenes in organic solvent. Radical intermediates have been proposed for reaction of $X \in F_2$ to double bonds. For example, a radical pathway was suggested for the gas phase reaction of XeF ₂ to ethylene and propene $[1]$. Zupan speculated on a radical cation pathway for the acid catalyzed reaction of $X \in F$ ₂ with alkenes but gave no experimental evidence for this mechanism $[2,3]$. Radical cation intermediates were demonstrated for the reaction of XeF₂ to aromatics by Filler $[4]$. Acid catalyzed ionic reactions to unsaturated hydrocarbons have been reviewed $[5]$.

Zupan and Pollak have shown that alkenes do not react in aprotic solvent with $X \in F_{2}$ at low concentrations of alkene unless acid catalyst is present[3]. However, we observed that illumination of a dilute solution of cis- or trans-l-phenylpropenes (I) or (II) in methylene chloride at 0^0 with a 270 watt sunlamp produced IIIa and IIIb in less then two hours (Table). Furthermore, at high concentration of (I) and (II), a spontaneous reaction occurred in the dark between XeF $_{\gamma}$ and these styrenes. The reaction conditions for both of these reactions imply a _{racical}mechanism – the latter a molecule-induce pathway.

It is conceivable that these reactions are catalyzed by a trace of HF produced from hydrogen abstraction or from trace impurity in the $X \in F_2$. To eliminate a possible ionic pathway, we repeated the photochemical and dark reactions in the presence of two equivalents of pyridine $[6]$. Our assumption was that the pyridine would remove any trace of HF. The results did not differ from those without pyridine as shown in the table. Therefore, we conclude that reactions of XeF_γ with (I) or (II) under these conditions must proceed by a free radical pathway since the reactions are not acid catalyzed, and the dilute reactions do not proceed without illumination. Unfortunately this radical reaction with $X \in F$, does not appear to represent a general synthesis since reaction with alkenes such as l-hexene, cyclohexene, and 2,3 dimethyl-2-butene does not give vicinal difluoride products. The major component of these reactions are unreacted alkene and minor amounts of low boiling products - presumably fluorine substitution along the alkyl chain.

Halogen Systems (conditions)	Mole Fraction Styrene in CH_2Cl_2	Percent Products ^a $cis - 1 -$ phenylpropene		From $trans-1-$ phenylpropene	
		erythro	threo	erythro	threo
$X \in F^{\overset{1}{b}}_{2}(h\vee)$	0.10°	58	42	56	44
$X e F$ ^d (dark)	0.50	59	41	52	48
$NC1\frac{b}{2}(h\nu)$	0.02	77	23	76	24

Radical Reactions of Halogen Systems to Styrenes (I) and (II)

 $\text{``Reaction (percent yield)}$; XeF $_{2}$ (60-75); NC1 $_{3}$ (87-88); IBD (100) . \degree Reaction temperature 0° . \degree Similar result were observed at 0.02 mole fraction alkene. Moleculeinduced reactions can occur above 0.10 mole fraction alkene. $^{\text{d}}$ Reaction temperature at 25 $^{\text{o}}$.

IBD (hv) 0.02 91 9 92 8

For comparison, we treated (I) and (II) with iodobenzene dichloride (IBD) and trichloramine $(NCI₃)$. These chlorinating agents are known to react via a radical pathway $[7, 8]$. The data show that reaction of (I) and (II) with IBD, $NC1_3$, and XeF_2 under radical conditions are stereoselective in that the erythro products are preferred (Table). The erythro products (IIIa, Via) probably predominate because anti-rather than synattack on intermediate (V) is the preferred pathway (Scheme). An increase in steroselectivity from $X \in F_2$, NCI_3 to IBD is expected for these radical reactions because steric interactions in the chain-transfer step are greatest for IBD and less important for the smaller and linear $X \in \mathbb{F}_2$ molecule. A similar steric effect has been reported for the radical reaction of IBD with cyclic alkenes $[7, 9]$.

J.

SCHEME. Propagation and Chain - Transfer Steps

To a solution of olefin (I) or (II), dissolved in methylene chloride to give the mole fractions shown in the Table, was added 1.0 m mole halogenating reagent at 0 or 25° (Table) in such amounts to consume 30 percent of the olefin. Addition of XeF_{2} and IBD were as solids while $\mathtt{NC1}_3$ was added as a 1.0 M solution in methylene chloride. Chlorinations were conducted under nitrogen as described in the literature because radical chlorinations are inhibited by oxygen $\begin{bmatrix} 7,8,9 \end{bmatrix}$. Fluorination with XeF₂ can be performed without inert atmosphere since this radical reaction is not inhibited by oxygen [lo] . Illumination was from a 270 watt sunlamp.

Difluorides (IIIa) and (IIIb) were isolated by preparative vpc and identified by comparing their nmr spectra with those reported previously $[11]$. Vpc analysis conditions: $14' \times 4''$ column (SS) at 120' packed with FFAP (2.5%) on 80-100 mesh Chromosorb W with retention times (min) of 15.0 (IIIa) and 17.3 (IIIb). The dichlorides (Via.) and (VIb) were isolated by preparative vpc and identified by comparing their spectra with those reported **previ**ously $\begin{bmatrix} 12 \end{bmatrix}$. Vpc analysis conditions: 6' x $\frac{1}{4}$ " column (SS) at 65^o packed with SE-30 (2.5%) on 60-80 mesh Chromosorb W with retention times (min) of 28.7 (Via) and 32.4 (VIb). Control experiments with (IIIa), (IIIb), (Via), and (VIb) show that they are stable to reaction and analysis conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Research Corporation, the Research Associates of Point Loma College, and the Catalysts of Bethany Nazarene College.

REFERENCES

- T.C. Shieh, E.D. Feit, C.L. Chernick and N.C. Yang, J. Org. 1 Chem., 35, (1970) 4020.
- 2 A. Gregorcic and M. Zupan, J. Org. Chem., 44, (1979) 1255; M. Zupan and B. Sket, J. Org. Chem., 43, (1978) 696.
- 3 M. Zupan and A. Pollak, J. Org. Chem., 41, (1976) 4002.
- 4 M.J. Shaw, H.H. Hyman, and R. Filler, J. Org. Chem., 36, (1971) 2917; M.J. Shaw, H.H. Hyman, and R. Filler, J. Am. Chem. Sot., 9l_, (1969) 1563.
- 5 R. Filler, Israel J. Chem., 17, (1978) 71.
- 6 Reaction of XeF2 with pyridine has been reported. See S.P. Anand and R. Filler, J. Fluorine Chem., 1, (1976) 179. Reaction of $X \in F$, with pyridine must be slower than reaction with 1-phenylpropene because the product yields of (IIIa) and (IIIb) were unaffected by the presence of added pyridine.
- V.L. Heasley, K.D. Rold, D.B. McKee, and G.E. Heasley, J. Org. Chem., 41, (1976) 1287.
- 8 D.F. Shellhamer, D.B. McKee, and C.T. Leach, J. Org. Chem., 41 (1976) 1972; K.W. Field, and P. Kovacic, J. Org. Chem., 36, (1971) 3566.
- 9 G.E. Heasley, T.R. Bower, K.W. Dougharty, J.C. Easdon, V.L. Heasley, S. Arnold, T.L. Carter, D.B. Yaeger, B.T. Gipe, and D.F. Shellhamer, J. Org. Chem., 45, (1980) 5150.
- **10** Although oxygen is an inhibitor of radical chlorination reactions, oxygen does not have much effect on other radical halogenation reactions. See D.F. Shellhamer, V.L. Heasley, J.E. Foster, J.K. Luttrull, and G.E. Heasley, J. Org. Chem., 2, (1977) 2141.
- 11 M. Zupan and A. Pollak, J. Org. Chem., 42, (1977) 1559.
- 12 R.C. Fahey and C. Schubert, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, (1965) 5172.

18